## van der Waals forces in density functional theory

**Ikutaro Hamada** Department of Precision Engineering Graduate School of Engineering Osaka University

Total energy

 $E_{\text{tot}}[\Psi] = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$ 

Total energy

Hellman-Feynman theorem



 $E_{\text{tot}}[\Psi] = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$ 

$$\left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda} \right| \Psi \right\rangle$$

Total energy

 $E_{\rm tot}[\Psi]$ 

 $\frac{\partial E_{\rm tot}}{\partial \lambda} =$ 

Hellman-Feynman theorem

2n+1 theorem

 $\frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{tot}}}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda_j^*} \middle| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle$ 

$$= \langle \Psi \, | \, \hat{H} \, | \, \Psi \rangle$$

$$\left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda} \right| \Psi \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{\hat{H}}{\lambda_{i}} \left| \Psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \right| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \right\rangle$$

Total energy

 $E_{\rm tot}[\Psi]$ 

Hellman-Feynman theorem



2n+1 theorem



 $\Psi = \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2,$ 

$$= \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$$

$$\left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda} \right| \Psi \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{\hat{H}}{\lambda_{i}} \left| \Psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \right| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{Z_I e^2}{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_I Z_J e^2}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|}$$

$$\cdots \mathbf{r}_{N_{\rm e}}; \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \cdots \mathbf{R}_{N_{\rm I}})$$

Total energy

 $E_{\rm tot}[\Psi]$ 

Hellman-Feynman theorem



2n+1 theorem

 $\frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{tot}}}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda_j^*} \right| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda_i}$ (Many-body) Hamiltonian  $\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_i \nabla_i^2 - \sum_I \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_I} \nabla_I^2 + \sum_I \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|} \nabla_I^2$ (Many-body) wave function

 $\Psi = \Psi_{\mathbf{R}_1,\mathbf{R}_2,\cdot}$ 

$$= \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$$

$$\left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda} \right| \Psi \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{\hat{H}}{\lambda_{i}} \left| \Psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \right| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{Z_I e^2}{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_I Z_J e^2}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|}$$

$$\dots, \mathbf{R}_{N_{\mathrm{I}}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \cdots \mathbf{r}_{N_{\mathrm{e}}})$$



Total energy of the system  $E_{tot}[n] = T_s[n] + \int d\mathbf{r} v_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r})$ Electron density

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = N_{\rm e} \int \cdots \int d\mathbf{r}_2 \cdots d\mathbf{r}_{N_{\rm e}} |\Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}_2,\cdots,\mathbf{R}_{N_{\rm I}}}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\cdots,\mathbf{r}_{N_{\rm e}})|^2$$

$$+\frac{e^2}{2}\iint d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'\frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} + E_{\rm xc}[n] + E_{\rm ion}$$



Total energy of the system

$$E_{\text{tot}}[n] = T_{\text{s}}[n] + \int d\mathbf{r} v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{e^2}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + E_{\text{xc}}[n] + E_{\text{ion}}$$

Electron density

 $n(\mathbf{r}) =$ 

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\text{occ}} |\psi_m(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

т

#### Hohenberg & Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B664 (1964); Kohn & Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).



Total energy of the system

$$E_{\text{tot}}[n] = T_{\text{s}}[n] + \int d\mathbf{r} v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{e^2}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + E_{\text{xc}}[n] + E_{\text{ion}}$$

Electron density

 $n(\mathbf{r}) =$ 

Semilocal (GGA) exchange-correlation (XC) functional  $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm sl}[n] = \int d\mathbf{r} f_{\rm xc}(n(\mathbf{r}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|)$ 

Hohenberg & Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B664 (1964); Kohn & Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).

$$\sum_{m}^{occ} |\psi_m(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

$$(\mathbf{XC}) \text{ functional}$$

$$f(\mathbf{r}_{m}(\mathbf{r}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|$$



Electron density

 $n(\mathbf{r}) =$ 

Semilocal (GGA) exchange-correlation  $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm sl}[n] = \int d{\bf r}$ Hybrid XC functional

 $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm hyb}[n] = aE_{\rm HF} + (1 - a)E_{\rm x}^{\rm sl} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm sl}$ 

Hohenberg & Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B664 (1964); Kohn & Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).

$$+\frac{e^2}{2}\iint d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'\frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} + E_{\rm xc}[n] + E_{\rm ion}$$

$$\sum_{m}^{occ} |\psi_m(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

$$(\mathbf{XC}) \text{ functional}$$

$$\mathbf{r} f_{\mathrm{xc}}(n(\mathbf{r}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|)$$



Total energy of the system  $E_{tot}[n] = T_s[n] + \int d\mathbf{r} v_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r$ 

Electron density

 $n(\mathbf{r}) =$ 

Semilocal (GGA) exchange-correlation  $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm sl}[n] = \int d{\bf r}$ 

Hybrid XC functional

 $E_{xc}^{hyb}[n] = aE_{HF} + (1 - a)E_x^{sl} + E_c^{sl}$ spersion interaction

③ Less accurate for the dispersion interaction

$$+\frac{e^2}{2}\iint d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'\frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} + E_{\rm xc}[n] + E_{\rm ion}$$

$$\sum_{m}^{occ} |\psi_m(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

$$(\mathbf{XC}) \text{ functional}$$

$$\mathbf{r} f_{\mathrm{xc}}(n(\mathbf{r}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|)$$



Total energy of the system  $E_{\text{tot}}[n] = T_{\text{s}}[n] + \int d\mathbf{r} v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}$ 

**Electron density** 

 $n(\mathbf{r}) =$ 

Semilocal (GGA) exchange-correlation  $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm sl}[n] = \left[ d\mathbf{r} f_{\rm xc}(n(\mathbf{r}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|) \right]$ 

Hybrid XC functional

 $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm hyb}[n] = aE_{\rm HF} + (1-a)E_{\rm x}^{\rm sl} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm sl}$ 

<sup>©</sup> Less accurate for the dispersion interaction  $\rightarrow$  Semiempirical correction (DFT-Dx, TS-vdW, XDM, LRD, ...)

$$+\frac{e^2}{2}\iint d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'\frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} + E_{\rm xc}[n] + E_{\rm ion}$$

$$\sum_{m}^{occ} |\psi_m(\mathbf{r})|^2$$
  
(XC) functional  
 $\mathbf{r}f_{\mathbf{r}c}(n(\mathbf{r}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|)$ 



Dipole-dipole interaction (Keesom force)

Dipole-induced dipole interaction (Debye force)





Dipole-dipole interaction (Keesom force)

 $1/R^{3}$ 

Dipole-induced dipole interaction (Debye force)





Dipole-dipole interaction (Keesom force)

#### UDA/GGA works

Dipole-induced dipole interaction (Debye force)





Dipole-dipole interaction (Keesom force)

#### UDA/GGA works

Dipole-induced dipole interaction (Debye force)

 $1/R^{3}$ 





Dipole-dipole interaction (Keesom force)

#### UDA/GGA works

Dipole-induced dipole interaction (Debye force)

#### UDA/GGA works





Dipole-dipole interaction (Keesom force)

#### UDA/GGA works

Dipole-induced dipole interaction (Debye force)

#### UDA/GGA works

Induced dipole-induced dipole interaction (London dispersion force)

 $1/R^{6}$ 





Dipole-dipole interaction (Keesom force)

#### UDA/GGA works

Dipole-induced dipole interaction (Debye force)

#### UDA/GGA works







A pair of neutral atoms  $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$   $\hat{H}^{(0)} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2$   $\hat{H}_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)$ 

 $\hat{H}' = V(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2; \hat{\mathbf{R}})$ 





# A pair of neutral atoms $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$ $\hat{H}^{(0)} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2$ $\hat{H}_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)$ $\hat{H}' \approx \frac{e^2}{R^3} \left[ \mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 - \frac{1}{3} \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \right]$



 $R \gg 1$ 



A pair of neutral atoms  

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$$

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2$$

$$\hat{H}_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)$$

$$\hat{H}' \approx \frac{e^2}{R^3} \left[ \mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 - \frac{1}{3} \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \right]$$

Unperturbed state (non-interacting atoms)  $E^{(0)} = 2E_0, \hat{H}_i$ 





#### Eacting atoms) $E^{(0)} = 2E_0, \hat{H}_i |\psi_0\rangle = E_0 |\psi_0\rangle$



A pair of neutral atoms  

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$$

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2$$

$$\hat{H}_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)$$

$$\hat{H}' \approx \frac{e^2}{R^3} \left[ \mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 - \frac{1}{3} \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \right]$$

Unperturbed state (non-interacting atoms)  $E^{(0)} = 2E_0, \hat{H}_i$ 

First-order energy





#### acting atoms) $E^{(0)} = 2E_0, \hat{H}_i |\psi_0\rangle = E_0 |\psi_0\rangle$

 $E^{(1)} = 0$ 



A pair of neutral atoms  

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$$

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2$$

$$\hat{H}_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)$$

$$\hat{H}' \approx \frac{e^2}{R^3} \left[ \mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 - \frac{1}{3} \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) \right]$$

Unperturbed state (non-interacting atoms)  $E^{(0)} = 2E_0, \hat{H}_i$ 

First-order energy

Second-order energy

$$E^{(2)}$$





```
acting atoms)

E^{(0)} = 2E_0, \hat{H}_i |\psi_0\rangle = E_0 |\psi_0\rangle
```

 $E^{(1)} = 0$ 

 $^{(2)} \propto 1/R^6$ 







A pair of model hydrogen atoms





#### A pair of model hydrogen atoms

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$$
$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = \frac{\hat{p}_1^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\hat{x}_1^2 + \frac{\hat{p}_2^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\hat{x}_2^2$$





A pair of model hydrogen atoms

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$$

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = \frac{\hat{p}_1^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\hat{x}_1^2 + \frac{\hat{p}_2^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\hat{x}_2^2$$

$$\hat{H}' \approx e^2 \frac{x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 - 2z_1 z_2}{R^3}$$





A pair of model hydrogen atoms

 $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$ 



$$\hat{H}' \approx e^2 \frac{x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 - 2z_1 z_2}{R^3}$$

 $z^{(2)} = -\frac{3i}{2}$ 

Dispersion interaction is inverse proportional to  $R^6$ 



$$\frac{\hbar\omega}{4} \left(\frac{e^2}{m\omega^2}\right) \frac{1}{R^6}$$



A pair of model hydrogen atoms

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}'$$



$$\hat{H}' \approx e^2 \frac{x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 - 2z_1 z_2}{R^3}$$

 $E^{(2)} = -\frac{3i}{2}$ 

Dispersion interaction originates from nonlocal many-body interaction



$$\frac{\hbar\omega}{4} \left( \frac{e^2}{m\omega^2} \right) \frac{1}{R^6}$$



## **Semiempirical dispersion corrected DFT**

Grimme's DFT-D2

## $E_{\rm DFT-D} = E_{\rm LDA/GGA} - \frac{1}{2}s_6$

#### Damping function $f_{dmp}$



Grimme, et al., Chem. Rev. 116, 5105 (2016).

$${}_{6}\sum_{I \neq J} f_{dmp}(|R_{I} - R_{J}|) \frac{C_{6}^{A(I)B(J)}}{|R_{I} - R_{J}|^{6}}$$

Grimme, Antony, Ehrlich, & Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).

8



$${}_{6}\sum_{I \neq J} f_{dmp}(|R_{I} - R_{J}|) \frac{C_{6}^{A(I)B(J)}}{|R_{I} - R_{J}|^{6}}$$

Grimme, Antony, Ehrlich, & Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).

9

## Semiempirical dispersion corrected DFT

#### Grimme's DFT-D3

$$E_{\text{DFT-D}} = E_{\text{LDA/GGA}} - \frac{1}{2} s_6 \sum_{I \neq J} f_{\text{dmp}}(|R_I - R_J|) \frac{C_6^{A(I)B(J)}}{|R_I - R_J|^6}$$

+(higher term)

Dispersion coefficient from the Casimir–Polder formula

$$C_6^{AB} = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi}$$

Grimme, Antony, Ehrlich, & Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).

### -Polder formula $\infty$ $d\omega \alpha^{A}(i\omega)\alpha^{B}(i\omega)$



## Semiempirical dispersion corrected DFT

#### Grimme's DFT-D3

$$E_{\text{DFT-D}} = E_{\text{LDA/GGA}} - \frac{1}{2} s_6 \sum_{I \neq J} f_{\text{dmp}}(|R_I - R_J|) \frac{C_6^{A(I)B(J)}}{|R_I - R_J|^6}$$

+(higher term)

Dispersion coefficient from the modified Casimir–Polder formula

$$C_{6,\text{ref}}^{AB} = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{1}{m} \left[ \alpha^{A_m H_n}(i\omega) - \frac{n}{2} \alpha^{H_2}(i\omega) \right] \times \frac{1}{k} \left[ \alpha^{B_k H_l}(i\omega) - \frac{l}{2} \alpha^{H_2}(i\omega) \right]$$

Grimme, Antony, Ehrlich, & Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).


#### Semiempirical dispersion corrected DFT

#### Grimme's DFT-D3

$$E_{\text{DFT-D}} = E_{\text{LDA/GGA}} - \frac{1}{2} s_6 \sum_{I \neq J} f_{\text{dmp}}(|R_I - R_J|) \frac{C_6^{A(I)B(J)}}{|R_I - R_J|^6}$$

+(higher term)

Dispersion coefficient from the modified Casimir–Polder formula

$$C_{6,\text{ref}}^{AB} = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{1}{m} \left[ \alpha^{A_m H_n}(i\omega) - \frac{n}{2} \alpha^{H_2}(i\omega) \right] \times \frac{1}{k} \left[ \alpha^{B_k H_l}(i\omega) - \frac{l}{2} \alpha^{H_2}(i\omega) \right]$$

System specific dispersion coefficient via the coordination number (CN)  $\sum_{I}^{N_A} \sum_{J}^{N_B} C_{6,\text{ref}}(\text{CN}^A, \text{CN}^B) L_{IJ}$ 

$$C_6^{AB}(CN^A, CN^B) = -$$

$$L_{IJ} = \exp\left[-4\left(\left(\mathbf{CN}^A\right)\right)\right]$$

Grimme, Antony, Ehrlich, & Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).

$$\sum_{I}^{N_A} \sum_{I}^{N_B} L_{IJ}$$

 $-\operatorname{CN}_{I}^{A}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{CN}^{B}-\operatorname{CN}_{J}^{B}\right)^{2}\right)$ 



#### Semiempirical dispersion corrected DFT

#### Grimme's DFT-D3

$$E_{\text{DFT-D}} = E_{\text{LDA/GGA}} - \frac{1}{2} s_6 \sum_{I \neq J} f_{\text{dmp}}(|R_I - R_J|) \frac{C_6^{A(I)B(J)}}{|R_I - R_J|^6}$$

+(higher term)

Dispersion coefficient from the modified Casimir–Polder formula

$$C_{6,\text{ref}}^{AB} = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{1}{m} \left[ \alpha^{A_m H_n}(i\omega) - \frac{n}{2} \alpha^{H_2}(i\omega) \right] \times \frac{1}{k} \left[ \alpha^{B_k H_l}(i\omega) - \frac{l}{2} \alpha^{H_2}(i\omega) \right]$$

System specific dispersion coefficient via the coordination number (CN)  $\sum_{I}^{N_A} \sum_{J}^{N_B} C_{6,\text{ref}}(\text{CN}^A, \text{CN}^B) L_{IJ}$ 

$$C_6^{AB}(CN^A, CN^B) = -$$

 $\sum_{I}^{N_A} \sum_{I}^{N_B} L_{II}$ (e.g., PBE+D3 tends to overestimate the binding energy) Grimme, Antony, Ehrlich, & Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).



Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

Nonempirical exchange-correlation functional No orbital dependency - pure density functional

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

XC functional — sum of semilocal exchange-correlation and nonlocal correlation

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).



XC functional — sum of semilocal exchange-correlation and nonlocal correlation

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).



XC energy from the adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem

$$E_{\rm xc} = -\int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \iint d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \chi^{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; iu) \frac{\lambda e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - E_{\rm self}$$

Gunnarsson & Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. B 13, 4274 (1976); Langreth & Perdew, Phys. Rev. B 15, 2884 (1977).

XC energy from the adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem

$$E_{\rm xc} = -\int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \iint d\mathbf{r}$$

Density-density response function at  $\lambda$ 

Gunnarsson & Lundqvist, *Phys. Rev. B* 13, 4274 (1976); Langreth & Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B* 15, 2884 (1977).



XC energy from the adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem

$$E_{\rm xc} = -\int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \iint d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \chi^{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; iu) \frac{\lambda e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - E_{\rm self}$$
  
ensity response function at  $\lambda$  Coulomb kernel  $V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}')$ 

Density-de

•Full potential approximation — Exact at the van der Waals asymptote

Second-order expansion in the response function

Plasmon-pole approximation to the response function

Gunnarsson & Lundqvist, *Phys. Rev. B* 13, 4274 (1976); Langreth & Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B* 15, 2884 (1977).



XC energy from the adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem



Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

 $E_{\rm xc} = - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{du}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda}(iu) V^{\lambda} \right] - E_{\rm self} \right]$ 

XC energy from the adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem

 $E_{\rm xc} = -\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int \frac{di}{2\pi}$ 

Full potential approximation (FPA)

 $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm FPA} = \left[\frac{du}{2\pi} tr\right]$ 

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

$$\frac{du}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda}(iu) V^{\lambda} \right] - E_{\text{self}}$$

 $\tilde{\chi} \equiv \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda=1}$ 

$$\left[\ln\left(1-\tilde{\chi}V\right)\right] - E_{\text{self}}$$

XC energy from the adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem

$$E_{\rm xc} = -\int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda}(iu) V^{\lambda} \right] - E_{\rm self}$$

Full potential approximation (FPA)

X

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm FPA} = \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - \tilde{\chi} V \right) \right] - E_{\rm self}$$

LDA-like approximation to local XC to extract the nonlocal correlation

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = E_{\rm xc}^{\rm FPA} -$$

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

$$\tilde{\chi} \equiv \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda=1}$$

 $E_{\rm xc}^{\rm FPA}({\rm HEG})$ 

XC energy from the adiabatic connection fluctuation dissipation theorem

$$E_{\rm xc} = -\int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda}(iu) V^{\lambda} \right] - E_{\rm self}$$

Full potential approximation (FPA)

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm FPA} = \int \frac{du}{2\pi} {\rm tr}$$

LDA-like approximation to local XC to extract the nonlocal correlation

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - \tilde{\chi} V \right) - \ln \epsilon \right]$$

$$\tilde{\chi} \equiv \tilde{\chi}^{\lambda=1}$$

$$\left[\ln\left(1 - \tilde{\chi}V\right)\right] - E_{\text{solf}}$$

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

Nonlocal correlation in terms of the dielectric function  $\epsilon$ 

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \int \frac{du}{2\pi} {\rm tr} \left[ \ln n \right]$$

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

 $\ln\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\nabla\cdot\epsilon\,\nabla V\right) - \ln\epsilon$ 

Nonlocal correlation in terms of the dielectric function  $\epsilon$ 

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \int \frac{du}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \ln \left( -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \cdot \epsilon \,\nabla V \right) - \ln \epsilon \right]$$

Second-order expansion wrt S

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} \approx \int \frac{du}{4\pi} {\rm tr}$$

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).





Plasmon-pole approximation to S

 $S(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \frac{\omega_p^2(\mathbf{r})}{\omega_q^2(\mathbf{r}) - \omega^2}$  $\omega_p(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{4\pi n(\mathbf{r})}$ 



Plasmon-pole approximation to S

 $S(\mathbf{r},\omega)$  =

 $\omega_p(\mathbf{r})$ 

 $\omega_{\mathbf{q}} =$ 

#### **Dispersion function**

 $h(x) = q_0(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{4\pi}{3}\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{LDA}}$ 

$$= \frac{\omega_p^2(\mathbf{r})}{\omega_q^2(\mathbf{r}) - \omega^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{4\pi n(\mathbf{r})}$$

$$\frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{1}{h[q/q_0]} = 1 - e^{-\frac{4\pi}{9}x^2}$$

$$A(n(\mathbf{r})) - \frac{Z_{ab}}{9}s^2(\mathbf{r})k_F(\mathbf{r})$$



#### Nonlocal correlation

# $E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$

#### $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$





Nonlocal correlation

 $E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left| d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2) \right| \right]$  $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$  $|r_1 - r_2| \rightarrow \infty$  $\phi \to -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|^6} \frac{1}{q_0^2(\mathbf{r}_1)q_0^2(\mathbf{r}_2)[q_0^2(\mathbf{r}_1) + q_0^2(\mathbf{r}_2)]}$ 



Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).



Nonlocal correlation

$$E_{c}^{nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2} n(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \phi(q_{1} | r_{1} - r_{2} |, q_{2} | r_{1} - q_{1} = q_{0}(n(\mathbf{r}_{i}), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_{i})|)$$

$$|r_{1} - r_{2}| \to \infty$$

$$\phi \to -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{|r_{1} - r_{2}|^{6}} \frac{1}{q_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{1})q_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{2})[q_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) + q_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{2})]}$$

Uniform (homogeneous) limit

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} \rightarrow 0$$



Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).



XC functional in vdW-DF

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm vdW-DF} = E_{\rm x}^{\rm GGA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl}$$

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$$

$$q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$$

- Output No empirical parameters
- Seamless description of covalent and van der Waals bondings

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

90(10(1), 1), 1, 10(1)

#### Graphite



#### Graphite



vdW-DF can describe both intra- and interlayer bindings





#### Graphite



 vdW-DF can describe both intra- and interlayer bindings •Binding energy is accurate, but interlay distance is overestimated



















<sup>©</sup> Overestimated binding distance, leading to incorrect interface electronic structure





## Band-gap opening at the K point of graphene on Ni(111)

# Band-gap opening at the K point of graphene on Ni(111)





Varykhalov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 157601 (2008).

# Band-gap opening at the K point of graphene on Ni(111)





Varykhalov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 157601 (2008).

#### vdW-DF Calc.



Vanin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 081408(R) (2010).

# Binding energy curves of graphene on Ni(111)



vdW-DF2-C09 improves the adsorption distance of graphene on Ni(111)

IH and M. Otani, Phys. Rev. B 82, 153412 (2010).

### Band structure of graphene on Ni(111)

IH and M. Otani, Phys. Rev. B 82, 153412 (2010).

# Band structure of graphene on Ni(111)



IH and M. Otani, Phys. Rev. B 82, 153412 (2010).
## Band structure of graphene on Ni(111)



Vanin et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 081408(R) (2010).

### Calculated band structure with vdW-DF2-C09 agrees well with experiment when the correct adsorption distance is used

IH and M. Otani, Phys. Rev. B 82, 153412 (2010).



### XC functional in vdW-DF

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm vdW-DF} = E_{\rm x}^{\rm GGA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl}$$
$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$$

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

 $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), | \vee n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$ 



XC functional in vdW-DF

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm vdW-DF} = E_{\rm x}^{\rm GGA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl}$$

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$$

$$q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$$

- Output No empirical parameters
- Seamless description of covalent and van der Waals bondings

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

90(10(1), 1), 1, 10(1)



XC functional in vdW-DF

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm vdW-DF} = E_{\rm x}^{\rm GGA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl}$$

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$$

$$a_1 = a_2 (n(\mathbf{r}_1) | \nabla n(\mathbf{r}_2) |)$$

- No empirical parameters
- Seamless description of covalent and van der Waals bondings

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

 $y_i - y_0(n(1_i), |v(n(1_i)|))$ 

## ③ No unique choice of local exchange and correlation to be accurate functional



### XC functional in vdW-DF

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm vdW-DF} = E_{\rm x}^{\rm GGA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl}$$
$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$$

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

 $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), | \vee n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$ 

XC functional in vdW-DF

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm vdW-DF} = E_{\rm x}^{\rm GGA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl}$$

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$$

 $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$ 

2nd generation: vdW-DF2, vdW-DF2-C09, rev-vdW-DF2 (vdW-DF2-B86R) 3rd generation: vdW-DF3-opt1, vdW-DF3-opt2

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

1st generation: vdW-DF(1), optB88-vdW, optB86b-vdW, vdW-DF-C09, vdW-DF-cx



XC functional in rev-vdW-DF2 (vdW-DF2-B86R)

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm rev-vdW-DF2} = E_{\rm xc}$$

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$$

 $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$ 

1st generation: vdW-DF(1), optB88-vdW, optB86b-vdW, vdW-DF-C09, vdW-DF-cx 2nd generation: vdW-DF2, vdW-DF2-C09, rev-vdW-DF2 (vdW-DF2-B86R) 3rd generation: vdW-DF3-opt1, vdW-DF3-opt2

Hamada, Phys. Rev. B 89, 121103 (2014).

Dion, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004); Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).

 $E_{\rm x}^{\rm B86R} + E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA} + E_{\rm c.nl}^{\rm vdW-DF2}$ 

## **Revised B86b exchange functional (B86R)**

 $F_{\rm X}(s)$ 

$$E_{\rm X}^{\rm GGA} = \int d\mathbf{r} n(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{\rm X}^{\rm unif}(n) F_{\rm X}(s)$$
$$F_{\rm X}^{\rm B86b}(s) = 1 + \frac{\mu s^2}{(1 + \mu s^2/\kappa)^{4/5}}$$
$$s = |\nabla n| / (2k_{\rm F}n)$$

Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 85, 7184 (1986).

• $\mu$  and  $\kappa$  are determined so as to match -GEA at the slowly varying density limit (important for covalent bonding) -Large density gradient behavior in the B86b (important for the vdW bonding)







## **Revised B86b exchange functional (B86R)**

$$E_{\rm X}^{\rm GGA} = \int d\mathbf{r} n(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{\rm X}^{\rm unif}(n) F_{\rm X}(s)$$
$$F_{\rm X}^{\rm B86b}(s) = 1 + \frac{\mu s^2}{(1 + \mu s^2/\kappa)^{4/5}}$$
$$s = |\nabla n| / (2k_{\rm F}n)$$

Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 85, 7184 (1986).

• $\mu$  and  $\kappa$  are determined so as to match -GEA at the slowly varying density limit (important for covalent bonding) -Large density gradient behavior in the B86b

(important for the vdW bonding)

Hamada, Phys. Rev. B 89, 121103 (2014).







## Outline

- Background: Dispersion forces in density functional theory
- - Benchmark for molecules, materials, and interfaces
  - Case study
    - Layered materials
    - Organic crystals
  - Recent development
- Summary

## Theory and application of van der Waals density functional (vdW-DF)





Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).



Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).



Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).



Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).

## **Comparison of vdW-DFs for molecular crystals**



Reilly and Tkatchenko, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 024705 (2013); G. A. Dolgonos, J. Hoja, D. Boese, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 21, 24333 (2019).

Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).

## **Comparison of vdW-DFs for molecular crystals**



Reilly and Tkatchenko, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 024705 (2013); G. A. Dolgonos, J. Hoja, D. Boese, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 21, 24333 (2019).

Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).



## **Comparison of vdW-DFs for adsorption systems**

#### Benzene on Cu, Ag, and Au(111) surfaces



Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).



## Comparison of vdW-DFs for adsorption systems

#### Benzene on Cu, Ag, and Au(111) surfaces



Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).



## **Comparison of vdW-DFs for adsorption systems**



Chakraborty, Berland, Thonhauser, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5893 (2020).

Benzene on Cu, Ag, and Au(111) surfaces



## Comparison of vdW-DFs for WaC18 dataset



Benchmark quantum Monte Carlo results of 18 water-water and water-carbon systems

Brandenburg et al. J. Chem. Phys. 151, 164702 (2019).

## **Comparison of vdW-DFs for WaC18 dataset**



Benchmark quantum Monte Carlo results of 18 water-water and water-carbon systems

- Brandenburg et al. J. Chem. Phys. 151, 164702 (2019).

## Comparison of vdW-DFs for WaC18 dataset



Benchmark quantum Monte Carlo results of 18 water-water and water-carbon systems

Brandenburg et al. J. Chem. Phys. 151, 164702 (2019).





## Graphene/Ni(111)



Mittendorfer, Garhofer, Redinger, Klimeš, Harl, and Kresse, Phys. Rev. B 84, 201401(R) (2011).

## Graphene/Ni(111)



Two minima in the binding energy curve for chemisorption and physisorption
Good system to test the accuracy to describe both strong and weak interactions

Mittendorfer, Garhofer, Redinger, Klimeš, Harl, and Kresse, Phys. Rev. B 84, 201401(R) (2011).

## Graphene/Ni(111)



•Two minima in the interaction energy curve are nicely reproduced.

- rev-vdW-DF2 Interaction energies are in good agreement with the RPA ones
- RPA: Mittendorfer, et al, Phys. Rev. B 84, 201401(R) (2011), Olsen & Thygesen, Phys. Rev. B 87, 075111 (2013).<sup>33</sup>



## Outline

- Background: Dispersion forces in density functional theory
- - Benchmark for molecules, materials, and interfaces
  - Case study
    - Layered materials
    - Organic semiconductors
  - Recent development
- Summary

# Theory and application of van der Waals density functional (vdW-DF)



## Outline

- Background: Dispersion forces in density functional theory
- - Benchmark for molecules, materials, and interfaces
  - Case study
    - Layered materials
    - Organic semiconductors
  - Recent development
- Summary

# Theory and application of van der Waals density functional (vdW-DF)



## Layered transition metal dichalcogenides MoTe<sub>2</sub> and WTe<sub>2</sub>



Kim, Kang, IH, and Son, *Phys. Rev. B.* 95, 180101 (R) (2017).



## Layered transition metal dichalcogenides **MoTe<sub>2</sub> and WTe<sub>2</sub>**



Kim, Kang, IH, and Son, Phys. Rev. B. 95, 180101 (R) (2017).



## Layered transition metal dichalcogenides **MoTe<sub>2</sub> and WTe<sub>2</sub>**



Kim, Kang, IH, and Son, Phys. Rev. B. 95, 180101 (R) (2017).



## Outline

- Background: Dispersion forces in density functional theory
- - Benchmark for molecules, materials, and interfaces
  - Case study
    - Layered materials
    - Organic semiconductors
  - Recent development
- Summary

# Theory and application of van der Waals density functional (vdW-DF)





Expt. : Cox, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **30**, 159 (1958) RPA: Lu, Li, Rocca, & Galli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 206411 (2009). DMC: Zen *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, 1724 (2018).



Expt. : Cox, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **30**, 159 (1958) RPA: Lu, Li, Rocca, & Galli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 206411 (2009). DMC: Zen *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, 1724 (2018).





Expt. : Cox, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **30**, 159 (1958) RPA: Lu, Li, Rocca, & Galli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 206411 (2009). DMC: Zen *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, 1724 (2018).

	a (Å)	b (Å)	<i>С</i> (Å)	V <sub>0</sub> (Å <sup>3</sup> )	E <sub>b</sub> (eV/m
PBE	8.19	10.118	7.541	624.9	
IW-DF2	7.477	9.496	6.872	487.9	0.56
vdW-DF2	7.33	9.38	6.734	463	0.53
A@PBE				$1.00 \times V_0$	0.48
DMC					0.540
Expt. 195°C)	7.292	9.471	6.742	465.6	0.54















DMC: Zen et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115, 1724 (2018).

## Structures of organic solids: Oligoacene crystals



Yanagisawa and IH in *Theoretical Chemistry for Advanced Nanomaterials -Functional Analysis by Computation and Experiment*. (Springer-Nature, 2020)




















Diagonalization of the dynamical matrix



 $|D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) - \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 \delta_{s,t} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0$  $D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_s M_t}} \tilde{C}_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q})$ 



Diagonalization of the dynamical matrix

Fourier transform of the interatomic force constant  $[C_{s\alpha,t\beta}(I,J)]$ 



 $|D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) - \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 \delta_{s,t} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0$  $D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_s M_t}} \tilde{C}_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q})$ 

$$\int C_{s\alpha,t\beta}(I,J)e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{R}_{I}-\mathbf{R}_{J})}$$



# Lattice dynamics from the perturbation theory

Diagonalization of the dynamical matrix

 $|D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) - \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 \delta_{s,t} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0$ 



## Lattice dynamics from the perturbation theory

Diagonalization of the dynamical matrix



 $|D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) - \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 \delta_{s,t} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0$  $D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_s M_t}} \frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{tot}}}{\partial u_{s,\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha*} \partial u_{t,\mathbf{q}}^{\beta}}$ 

Fourier transform of atomic displacement





## Lattice dynamics from the perturbation theory

Diagonalization of the dynamical matrix

 $|D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q})|$ 

$$D_{s\alpha,t\beta}(\mathbf{q}) =$$



$$-\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^{2}\delta_{s,t}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{s}M_{t}}}\frac{\partial^{2}E_{\text{tot}}}{\partial u_{s,\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha*}\partial u_{t,\mathbf{q}}^{\beta}}$$

$$\psi_{m,\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_{m,\mathbf{k}} \left| \frac{\partial^{2}v_{\text{ext}}}{\partial u_{s,\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha*}\partial u_{t,\mathbf{q}}^{\beta}} \right| \psi_{m,\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \right) + \frac{\partial^{2}E_{\text{II}}}{\partial u_{s,\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha*}\partial u_{t,\mathbf{q}}^{\beta}}$$

First-order change in the wave function (modulated by **q**)





# **Density functional perturbation theory**

Linearized Kohn-Sham equation wrt external perturbation  $\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}$  (Sternheimer equation)

 $\left(\hat{H} - \varepsilon_{m,\mathbf{k}}\right) \left| \frac{\partial \psi_{m,\mathbf{k}}}{\partial u_{s,\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha}} \right\rangle = -\left( \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial u_{s,\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{m,\mathbf{k}}}{\partial u_{s,\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha}} \right) \left| \psi_{m,\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle$ 



43

# **Density functional perturbation theory**

Linearized Kohn-Sham equation wrt external perturbation  $\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}$  (Sternheimer equation)

$$\left(\hat{H}-\varepsilon_{m,\mathbf{k}}\right)\hat{P}_{\mathrm{c},\mathbf{k}}$$

Treatment of incommensurate perturbations (arbitrary q) allowed, in contrast to the finite difference method





43

#### Phonon band structure of d<sub>8</sub>-naphthalene





rev-vdW-DF2: IH (unpublished). Expt. Natkaniec et al., J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 13, 4265 (1980).

Frequency (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

#### Phonon band structure of d<sub>8</sub>-naphthalene



44



rev-vdW-DF2: IH (unpublished).

vdW-DF-cx (DF-cx): Brown-Altvater et al., Phys. Rev. B 93, 195206 (2016). Expt. Natkaniec et al., J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 13, 4265 (1980).



#### Phonon dispersion of the organic semiconductor rubrene



Takada, et al., Phys. Rev. B 105, 205205 (2022).



46

#### **Crystal structure of rubrene**



Expt. : O. D. Jurchescu, A. Meetsma, T. T. M. Palstra, Acta Cryst. B 62, 330 (2006).







#### **Crystal structure of rubrene**



Expt. : O. D. Jurchescu, A. Meetsma, T. T. M. Palstra, Acta Cryst. B 62, 330 (2006).

	a (Å)	b (Å)	С (Å)	V <sub>0</sub> (Å <sup>3</sup> )	Eb (eV/mo
PBE	27.989	7.804	16.585	1811.1	0.234
W-DF2	26.969	7.167	14.523	1403.5	2.319
vdW-DF2	26.828	7.134	14.04	1354.3	2.18
ot. (100K)	26.789	7.17	14.211	1364.8	





#### **Crystal structure of rubrene**



Expt. : O. D. Jurchescu, A. Meetsma, T. T. M. Palstra, Acta Cryst. B 62, 330 (2006).







#### Phonon band structure of rubrene



Calculated phonon frequencies overestimated —temperature (unharmonic) effect?

Takada, et al., Phys. Rev. B 105, 205205 (2022).



48

# Outline

- Background: Dispersion forces in density functional theory
- - Benchmark for molecules, materials, and interfaces
  - Case study
    - Layered materials
    - Organic semiconductors
  - Recent development
- Summary

# Theory and application of van der Waals density functional (vdW-DF)







#### $\Delta E_{\rm site} = E_{\rm ads}^{\rm top} - E_{\rm ads}^{\rm fcc}$





#### $\Delta E_{\rm site} = E_{\rm ads}^{\rm top} - E_{\rm ads}^{\rm fcc}$

#### Semilocal DFT (PBE, RPBE, ...) predicts wrong adsorption site (fcc)







Shulka, Jiao, et al., Phys. Rev. X 12, 041003 (2022).











Shulka, Jiao, et al., Phys. Rev. X 12, 041003 (2022).











Shulka, Jiao, et al., Phys. Rev. X 12, 041003 (2022).





#### Summary

- rev-vdW-DF2 / vdW-DF2-B86R
- desirable

• Accurate for energetics, geometries, and dynamics of variety systems • Accurate as PBE / PBEsol, but with the dispersion force included Less accurate for band / HOMO-LUMO gap and related problem

Further improvement is being underway, e.g., hybrid vdW-DF2-AHBR, but (periodic) quantum chemistry and/or many-body methods are highly







#### References

#### vdW-DF

- Dion et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246401 (2004).
- Lee et al., Phys. Rev. B 82, 081101 (2010).
- Berland et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 066501 (2015).
- Hamada, Activity Report 2021, Supercomputer Center, ISSP, Univ. of Tokyo.
  - https://mdcl.issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp/scc/wp/wp-content/uploads/ <u>2022/08/2021\_0301\_invited1.pdf</u>
- rev-vdW-DF2
- Hamada, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 121103 (2014). Callsen and Hamada, Phys. Rev. B 91, 195103 (2015).



#### Backup

#### Nonlocal correlation

# $E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2)$

#### $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$

Román-Pérez and Soler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 096102 (2009). Wu and Gygi, *J. Chem. Phys.* **136**, 224107 (2012). Hamamoto *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 245440 (2016).







#### Nonlocal correlation

# $E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2) \right\|$

#### $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$



```
Román-Pérez and Soler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 096102 (2009).
     Wu and Gygi, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 224107 (2012).
     Hamamoto et al., Phys. Rev. B 93, 245440 (2016).
```



Nonlocal correlation

 $E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |) n(\mathbf{r}_2) \right\|$  $q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$  $\phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |)$  $\approx \sum p_{\alpha}(q_1)\phi_{\alpha\beta}(|r_1 - r_2|)p_{\beta}(q_2)$  $\alpha,\beta$ 



```
Román-Pérez and Soler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 096102 (2009).
     Wu and Gygi, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 224107 (2012).
     Hamamoto et al., Phys. Rev. B 93, 245440 (2016).
```



#### Nonlocal correlation

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 n(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 + q_1 | q_1)$$

$$q_i = q_0(n(\mathbf{r}_i), |\nabla n(\mathbf{r}_i)|)$$

$$\phi(q_1 | r_1 - r_2 |, q_2 | r_1 - r_2 |)$$

$$\approx \sum_{\alpha, \beta} p_\alpha(q_1) \phi_{\alpha\beta}(|r_1 - r_2|) p_\beta(q_2)$$

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm nl} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \int d\mathbf{k} \theta_\alpha^*(\mathbf{k}) \phi_{\alpha\beta}(k) \theta_\beta(\mathbf{k})$$



```
Román-Pérez and Soler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 096102 (2009).
     Wu and Gygi, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 224107 (2012).
     Hamamoto et al., Phys. Rev. B 93, 245440 (2016).
```



#### Graphite

	In-plane lattice constant (Å)	Interlayer distance (Å)	Binding energy (meV)
PBE	2.47	4.38	
optB88-vdW	2.46	3.35	52
vdW-DF2	2.48	3.52	70
vdW-DF2-C09	2.48	3.27	56
rev-vdW-DF2	2.47	3.32	60
Expt.	2.4589	3.34	50±5

Hamada, Phys. Rev. B 89, 121103 (2014).

